



**Agenda**  
April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

The Society of Graduate and Professional Students recognizes the traditional and ancestral territories of the Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee Nations on whose lands we gather on today.

**Presentation: Experiential Learning Discussion**

Submitted by Emma Thompson (PhD candidate, School of Kinesiology & Health Studies)

---

“Following the most recent meeting of the Experiential Learning Steering Committee, I would like to submit the following questions to the April meeting agenda for discussion. The committee would like me to return to our next meeting with graduate and professional students views and experiences on the following:

- 1) What experiential learning (EL) projects and support do you or people in your department have access to?
- 2) What are the primary EL opportunities within your department?
- 3) What considerations or challenges do you see in terms of EL as a graduate or professional student?

These questions are meant to guide discussion, but I am open to any and all thoughts about EL in the postgraduate experience.

Background Information: Queen's University defines experiential learning as an opportunity for students to gain experience that helps them transition to employment. For more information please visit <http://queensu.ca/experientiallearninghub/home>”

Emma: Experiential learning background – infographic on website describing how experiential learning works. Experiential learning hub created in Career Services – has resources (financial, support), engagement with community partner. At last committee meeting – department heads gave update on experiential learning opportunities. Almost all were undergraduate focused. Graduate focused was: PhD initiative, but SGS is looking to increase access for graduate students to access experiential learning. For example, a portfolio Masters or PhD (ex. internship in community, ran a workshop..etc.); dissertation may be a bit shorter. This is all talk, but nothing solidified. I’m here today to get feedback from you and your colleagues about what experiential projects/supports you have access to. Any questions; you can also provide feedback via email, as my report is not due until June.

Adam Grotsky: I’ll start by thanking Emma for bringing this forward. We touched on this briefly when we discussed the Board Senate retreat. A lot of comments we heard was that, like Law, there are experiential opportunities in program structure, which does not always fit neatly into what we traditional think of a PhD. But the reality is that when students graduate, the vast number of PhDs don’t



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

stay in academia and do other things. What is necessary is more embedded opportunities within the program structure; I think we'll see a more effective cohort of PhD students for the future.

Leo: Just echoing what Adam is saying; at any level, there are barriers to enter in those opportunities due to pressures within the department; and the fact that it isn't acknowledged by the department. Acknowledgement would be ideal – especially for those waiting for processes for their main projects to continue. There may be problems with supervisory relations when seeking these opportunities

Stefy: I've been working on experiential learning for VP Graduate – you may be doing experiential learning opportunities you don't recognize. For example, conference planning... that's technically an experiential learning opportunity. Curating an art show, doing an internship, creating a funding package...etc. There's a new experiential learning fund (up to \$2000 from Career Services, matched by SGS) to create new experiential learning. Basic application, mainly targeted to students to create new positions. Each position can be paid, let's say if you're looking at conference planning experiences. It can be quite broad, but thinking about what we would be useful for your department. That's a bit more about a PhD portfolio.

Policy Studies: We're very focused on experiential learning, with opportunities to do trips as learning opportunities and exchanges to Ghana and China to take courses and do research.

Matt: This morning, there was a Mitacs workshop – that speaks to funding for some experiential learning. There's a couple different ones, but you can do 4x8months funding. From some of the projects with different corporate projects, they have been using this as part of thesis work. Less of a traditional thesis.

Education: Experiential learning is so important; in my program we have practicums and alternative practicums, to learn in the community or those spaces. Those are the most beneficial learning opportunities; they learn more there than in any classes. I would say that if you have an opportunity through this program, it would be super beneficial.

- We have really good faculty that has made these programs available for us and we should be very happy, for example, we couldn't take 3 weeks off now we can integrate these programs
- For social science the path is less clear – we have possibilities, I wonder if there could be resources to help people in social sciences to think about other experiences
- For humanities – you do your PhD right after, we are training for our academic career, to get community coop or employment experience, but its very dependent on our supervisors
- One other thing is that masters are short periods of time, if someone does an internship over the erf



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

Tyler: What Stefy talked about with the Experiential Learning Fund is an offshoot of the Career Readiness Fund by the government. What's happening next is "Stream 3", focused on funding positions post-graduation. It would also apply to graduate and undergraduate students, to help bridge that gap.

Leo: I think one other thing the school often ignores is experiential learning opportunities is that undergraduate opportunities would not apply to graduate students. That's just as valid; there's not a sharp divide between undergraduate and graduate students. Things applicable to career development at UG can also apply to G.

Alexandru: I wouldn't make it mandatory though, where you wouldn't want this in pure math. Perhaps something could be negotiated with the SGS as a mandatory course, to save on time.

: Perhaps expanding the UG co-op program, especially summer jobs funded through Canada's student grants; they are only applicable to those who are coming back to school in the Fall.

Adam Ali: I'll quickly say too that I'm thinking about experiential learning under the umbrella of research. How does experiential learning make us better researchers? That's an area we need to improve, compared to the other U15 groups. And if we want to get departments on board, how do we pitch experiential learning to Faculty who are already strained.

Leo: I think we're also forgetting a base of course-based Master's students who are not pursuing research. Those students in particular may find a course-based experiential learning. Universities like McMaster and Waterloo are taking advantage of opportunities for experiential learning, with a 4 month co-op in the summer term

Matt: Just a question for you Leo – if you take a four month period in the summer, would you push a 24 month Master's and move it to 28 months?

Leo: There's two options – either 28 months or course-based Master's; your courses would be based off experiential learning opportunities and a reflection based off of that.

Stefy: I think one thing we want to be careful of is that the portfolio PhD – it's not necessarily above and beyond what you're doing. So, you're not doing more work in less time – that the work you're doing is geared towards the project you are doing. I would encourage thinking about how the work we are doing, and the skills directly related, that that work is what is expected of you in four years.

Adam Grotzky: I'll add one more thing – while we do want to push for more experiential learning. We need to recognize PhDs do get great skills from their programs, which is the purpose of experiential



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

learning. We should be trying to bridge the gap between skills gained in PhD and working for companies post-graduation, because you have transferable skills. Concrete skills for employers.

Emma: Thank you all for this feedback — feel free to contribute further by emailing me.



## Adoption of the Agenda & Approval of Minutes

---

### A. Adoption of the Agenda

**MOTION 10/04/18:1**

BIRT SGPS Council adopt the Agenda for the April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 Council Meeting.  
Motion carries.

### B. Approval of March Council Minutes

**MOTION 10/04/18:2**

BIRT SGPS Council adopt the Minutes from the March 13<sup>th</sup>, 2018 Council Meeting.  
Motion carries.



## Executive & Speaker Reports

---

### A. Executive Reports

#### a. President – Adam Grotsky (report attached)

Adam: This is our last Council meeting for the 2017-2018 school year. Thank you! It's been a pleasure working with you, and I hope you've enjoyed the destination. If I don't see you again, I hope our paths will cross again soon!

#### b. VP Graduate – Stéfy McKnight (report attached)

Stefy: My report somehow got lost – it's on the website. I'll go over things together. Student Advisors – Tyler and Leo will be heading up this hiring. We have some positions - remuneration will be \$6000.

MOU with SGS was passed around; draft – we have a meeting with the SGS on April 30<sup>th</sup>. I'm going to propose that everyone sends comments by the 25<sup>th</sup> and I can compile a document, unless folks have burning questions now. It's less of a fill in the blank agreement and more of a conversation with the supervisor. It's hard to create one document for all. I'll send an email to Jenny to provide feedback by a given deadline. Thank you, it's been an honour supporting you! I'll be around for the next two or three years – feel free to contact me if you want to work on any projects.

- The remuneration will be 6000, so that we can hire more people



### **Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- The second thing is a follow up on thesis Thursday, I found out that the grad club has a graduate writing place, if you want another space that is one
- I hope to create a data map of what places we have to study, there is now 3 clubs
- There is one in Stauffer, grad club and thesis Thursday
- There is a memorandum of understanding being passed around – everybody sends their comments by the 25<sup>th</sup>
- My understanding is that its less of a fill in the blank and more of a let's have a conversation
- The document is very broad
- The email for it will be sent out

c. VP Professional – Russell Durward (report attached)

Russell: Thank you to all for showing up and having things to say. A date has been set for Careers Day – April 19<sup>th</sup>; keep an eye out as to details.

- Thank you to everyone that has shown up and helped the council  
A date is set for apr. 19 for career day

d. VP Finance & Services – Lauren Peacock (report attached)

Lauren: Didn't submit a report – Health and Dental Plan... our rate is actually going down this year. There's a number of reasons - \$20.99 going down this year. Abel and Tyler will go into detail on this. Our budget was much more favourable than before. They're going to drill into that. I'd like to say thank you for not pestering us about the budget.

e. VP Community – Tyler Morrison (report attached)

Tyler: Nothing to add; looking forward to another awesome year. For those of you who are done – thank you for your service.

### **B. Speaker Report**

a. Speaker – Jennifer Williams (oral report)

- We are overseeing the by-law committee
- I will be leaving in august
- The role of speaker will be elected in the new year

### **C. Approval**

**MOTION 10/04/18:3**

BIRT SGPS Council approve the Executive and Speaker Reports.  
Motion carries.



---

Senator, Trustee, Commissioner, Committee & Other Reports

---



### **Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

**A. Senator Report** – Graduate Student Senator – Alexandru Sonoc (report attached)  
Alexandru: Nothing to add.

**B. Trustee Report** – Graduate Student Trustee – Adam Ali (no report submitted)  
Adam: Nice things about Speaker 😊 <3.

### **C. Commissioner Reports**

a. Athletics Commissioner – Lindsay Ruitter (oral report)

Lindsay: At my last meeting, wanted to thank everyone for coming out to events this year. If you didn't make it out to any, one last sports hour, intramurals this summer: ultimate frisbee, outdoor soccer. Larkin is the new Athletics and Wellness Commissioner – spread word about events.

- Thank everyone who came out to the sports event, there is one last sports event coming out, ultimate frisbee and outdoor soccer
- Larkin is the new athletic and wellness commissioner, hopefully you will meet her soon

b. Equity & Diversity Commissioner – Rosie Petrides (report attached)

Rosie: 20-25 individuals at the Accessibility and Inclusion Conference this weekend. Katrina, incoming commissioner – confident she will be a great commissioner next year!

- Good uptake on the social media pages
- Caterina the incoming commissioner will be wonderful

c. International Students Affairs Commissioner – Atul Jaiswal (report attached)

d. Social Commissioner – Martyna Kamela (report attached)

- Thank for all the events and energy
- This is my last meeting, we have a new incoming commissioner

### **D. Committee Reports**

### **E. Department Reports**

### **F. Other Reports**

a. University Rector – Cam Yung (no report submitted)

b. Chief Returning Officer (no report submitted)

### **G. Approval**

**MOTION 10/04/18:4**

BIRT SGPS Council approve the Senator, Trustee, Commissioner, Committee & Other Reports.



**Agenda**  
April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

Motion carries.



---

---

**IV. Question Period & Departmental Issues**



---

---

**V. Business Arising from the Minutes**



---

---

**VI. Main Motions & Discussion**

**A. Budget**

Abel: The Executive team is excited to release the 2018-2019 budget, distributed to everyone. It's more about numbers; it's actually about the framework of the Executive team and their commitment and plans for the future. The primary theme is of presence and impact. The reason for the \$154,000 surplus is that the SGPS has collected funds for a year, but only spent for 8 months, to reflect a new fiscal year. The incoming Executives can allocate funds according to their decisions. We used a budget from zero approach. Another feature of the budget is an operating contingency plan – had the Executives not budgeted for a rainy day, we would have had a much larger impact. We've increased our operating contingency plan from 8% to 12%. Still questions about Health and Dental – seen midterm report up til January – want to see up until end of summer for fiscal year. We get to exciting part – statement of operations – presence and breadth. Honoraria and Stipends – increase of \$50,000 – reason for this is decided to hire more student positions – Marketing Officer, Deputy Commissioners...etc. increasing awareness for our students about what is the SGPS.

Tyler: I also want to point out the stub year – changes of \$50,000; we didn't budget \$50,000 year – 4 months of honorarium and stipends didn't happen, because the year got cut short by 4 months. I believe we raised it around \$20,000 and we didn't spend \$30,000. Put away some money for a Graduate Peer Support Center to get that initiative up off the ground and running. Other additions under this line item is switching servers to ITS – the AMS does this, Departmental societies do this. Adds safety and control; rather than cloud storage. Potential cost associated with this - \$6,000 in budget for the transition. Professional fees – slight increase there as well – base reason is because



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

we've recently switched legal provider. I won't get into this, but the reality is that we're with a more reputable and efficient firm – costing us more money.

Concerning bursaries/grants – breaking it down into four types of bursaries and grants – are some getting maxed out? Is usage pretty good?

Tyler: Some are doing very very well, some are not hitting their cap – I don't think that's a need issue, and more a marketing problem. My plan is to hire a marketing officer to push grants and bursaries further than they're going right now. All great news – ways of giving back to the students.

Alexandru: Are bursaries – dental and international student health; ones used to be supported by PSAC – are they continuing?

Tyler: They are continuing, but lesser in side. Budget change already made two months ago, reflecting that we're not taking in that money. SGPS contribution is still there, but not PSAC.

Response to this – is that worrisome that the health bursary has hit its cap?

Motion carries.

Reference to the appeal committee is there

- Leo- changing from 10% to 15%,
- With a 15% corium, if its not met, an individual
- Adam- that would not work because a group of people smaller than those who voted would be able to overturn the vote
- Tyler – to that point, if we don't hit that corium, its not a 2/3 majority
- We have a corium figure for majority and one for whether a petition can be filed
- There is 2 different types of corium
- We can still have it with the 15% and 10% of the other ones – because a 2/3 of majority will be less than the 15% of the other group
- If you have the 15% mark, lets say you have 60% of people voting, I think that number should be uniform, then we have uniform, we have 1% for general meeting and 15% for everything else
- Tyler – I'm not against the 15%, I don't see the motive, we get over 15% 2/3 majority, we are just establishing a base line for a petition to overturn the decision



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- The second that we receive less than 15% and 2/3 was our position, we are changing the spirit of the vote
- We would have a 5% window, where it's a 2/3 majority that we can't have petitioned by a vote
- If it's the will of council I'm not against that, but in my mind it seems to be more logical
- If your beneath corium, then a petition needs to be carried out
- Adam – 15% is in the other policy is not corium as it is here
- This is different than needing to hit below a threshold
- If we speak strictly, what we did with the other policy it's not a corium, it's a threshold
- This is establishing at what point should we be able to overturn a referendum because of a lack of participation
- If a petition is on file, can council nullify a petition – no – but council can challenge was the election done properly
- Calling to question changing 10% to 15%

#### Motion fails

- We had some changes to campaign
- We added social media to our practice

The 100\$ if a candidate violates spending limit they lose their payment

- This is something that makes spending over is nonnegotiable
- If we want to discuss changing \$100 fine, but if you go 100 and 50 cent,
- We will move that to penalty

And then posters be removed before voting begins

- They have 3 days after voting, if someone leaves things up during voting days that seems like an unfair advantage
- Not everyone has the same time
- But if you see material for candidates, you shouldn't be able to make it unbiased

Adam: this is not an issue, but a close reading allows campaigning on voting days

You are allowed to campaign on voting days,

- And if your willing to take the times of putting your face out there, we shouldn't make you take your posters down
- It makes sense for them to take down posters after the election



**Agenda**  
April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

Stefy

- I see no problem with them taking posters down after
- There seems to be a bias with online stuff
- If you have a FB group, you can have that group up for all this time
- If we take websites down, then I would say that fb groups should be deleted as well
- If we have to run around taking down poster we should take those off as well

Lauren

- You can leave up social media, because a lot of people don't know candidates until they go to vote, I really don't think it matters

Tyler:

- I respectfully disagree to you Leo, and if you have to take down social media, you should take down posters as well
- If you do one you have to do both
- There is no such thing as an unbiased election, if someone ran a campaign, then all the power to them

Leo

- If your platform is online on SGPS then everyone can look at it there
- The effort that you put in in campaign period that should be awarded
- We should take down social media and websites and all be centralized on the SGPS website
- There should be no campaigning afterwards

Alexandru

- Taking down poster to me is a housekeeping issue
- We should not force candidates to take them down

Adam

- It's just trying to maintain the spaces that we occupy
- Generally speaking in the real world, you don't have to halt campaign at midnight, its an odd reason



**Agenda**  
April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

We are also somewhat intelligent we shouldn't be swayed by the last-minute poster

- I would suggest possibly to not allow in-person campaigning

Motion of adding in that we cannot campaign during the voting days

This fails – we can campaign during voting days

- Changing \_ 7 9 7. Word changing to '**verified**'
- Why is the speaker helping? Because the speaker act as medium between CRO and appeal board
- Do we have a scrutinizer position for online ballots? No – the head of the company that administers the software, the 3<sup>rd</sup> party verifies it

#### Penalties

- If you are a spending violation, you don't get your money back
- Added clause F (that de-incentivizes financial errors)

#### Stefy

- Can the CRO give a fine? No that's removed



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

Adam

- No problem with this, could the CRO also give demerits on top of that
- I think that's fine, because they get discretion
- If its not egregious you lose your money but don't get demerit, if it is bad you get demerit
- At discretion demerits can be accessed

We need the wording for this

Alexandru

- The CRO can give for one infraction more than 1 demerit point –
- Involving a single infraction

J involving a demerit point changed to J involving an infraction

- For good faith you get a lesser fine or demerit, it would be a mistake for example
- If you make an honest mistake and rectify your mistake, you shouldn't get demerits because of that

Adam

We should move that to P 9 b

- We add that to the list of severity, frequencies, good faiths and intent

Alexandru

- Definition of committee is here in K
- The definition should be in the by-laws not the policies
  
- That by-law and policy that dictate non-academic misconduct, we shouldn't even have that on it
  
- It makes sense to move K,



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

Suggestion to move from a by-law to policies

Tyler

It makes sense for it to be in one

- What we need to do is eliminate the election piece from the judicial board and by-law
- Adam: I agree with Tyler – I propose that we move on for now, because what we are discussing has to be done, but that's different from this policy, but I think that there is value in having it done as a first reading tonight, we can open the agenda to a first reading afterwards
- Leo – under E, they lose their 400 reimbursement
- At the discretion of the CRO demerit points can be placed

Adam

- Under K, the committee will consist of speaker and two committee members
- My suggestion is to change to 3 committee members and speaker as the non-voting chair

Alexandru

- Those 3 members be types 1 – 8 of ordinary members
- That would exclude the executive and commissioners, the idea is to remove the perception of conflict of interest
- It makes sense to leave it open to ordinary members

Leo

- I think 3 elected members of council are sufficient enough

Stefy

- I agree with Alexandru, we could have an oncoming commissioner making a decision on who they want to work with in the future



### **Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- I would suggest that it is good

Adam

- I agree with the nature of Alexadru's comment
- You should not be on the committee if you have a conflict of interest
- One it would be the responsibility of council to not choose that, we are separately bringing forward conflict of interest forward in a sperate meeting

### **B. Changes to Elections Policy (Bylaw and Policy Committee) - Appendix A**

**MOTION 10/04/18:5**

- Abel: we are proud to announce the 2018-2019 operational budget
- Its about the framework of the executive team and their plans for the future
- It is about presence and impact
- We want graduate students know that we are there for them and we want to impact their time here
- The reason for the 154000\$ surplus, is because the SGPS has collected the fees for a full year but has only spent money for 8 months
- The budgeting process – the executives took on a budget starting from 0 – looking at what they wanted to establish
- Given that we have a reduced fiscal year and timelines are tight
- We weren't able to finalize the budget for new commissioners, they are estimates
  
- Had the budgeters not scheduled for a rainy day, the 154,000 would have had a higher impact that it did
  
- We weren't able to budget from 0, there are still questions about our dental plan
  
- We want to see the full report
  
- The statement – the honorary stipend, an increase of approximately \$50,000



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- We have decided to hire more student positions such as marketing director and other positions
- Second for bursaries and grants, we have increased our grants – and emergency bursary fund as well

Tyler: the stub year

- When we look at a difference between the honorary and stipend is because money was left over from the previous budget
- The council and committee line – inside that line is one called the executive initiative – we increased that significantly, is because we want a graduate support center
- We put money away in there
- To get that initiative off the ground and running
- Now we looked at student advisor program and looked at how it would look like
- We are looking at the ITS system. Many different groups do it, it's a way of decentralizing control of our information – there is a potential cost of \$6000 placed in there
- That is the biggest jump – but I wanted to show that there are plans for that money
- The only other thing is professional fees -Its been a slight increase there as well – we have recently switched our provided of legal support. We are with a more reputable efficient firm, going with that new firm is costing us a bit of more money

Questions

- Is there any numbers of what the uses of grants were last year
- Answer – some are doing very well, others are not hitting their cap
- I think that is a marketing problem, we need to push these grants and bursaries to students farther
- Other things like the emergency bursary, there is plans to expand the scope of the bursary
- All ways of giving back to the students

Alexandrue

- Are the dental bursaries an international ones, are they continuing?



### **Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- Yes they are continuing but they are lesser in size
- That change was made in the previous budget
- The SGPS contribution is still there in those grants, but the reality is that they are not hitting their cap, they still exist, its just the money that we were supposed to receive isn't that much

WHEREAS: There was evident misunderstanding this year regarding the consequences of a failed vote for the Bus It fee; and

WHEREAS: Similar misunderstandings could occur again with the current ballot question system;

BIRT: that policy P.9.5e be amended as follows

### **C. Further Changes to Elections Policy (Stephan Dobri & Alexandru Sonoc)**

**MOTION 10/04/18:6**

WHEREAS: There was evident misunderstanding this year regarding the consequences of a failed vote for the Bus It fee; and

WHEREAS: Similar misunderstandings could occur again with the current ballot question system;

BIRT: that policy P.9.5e be amended as follows

e. Every question put to referendum will include a brief impartial statement written by the Chief Returning Officer that clearly outlines any effects on member dues and member services if the question passes and if the question does not pass.

- the CRO should outline what happens to make sure that it is unbiased

- Every referendum question will have a statement talking about it
- The questions that we did approve did not say that



### **Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- It's the ultimate catch of what the consequences are
- Adam
- I have a couple comments about how it would play out in practice
  - How would an impartial CRO write a statement on it?
  - If a fee is opt-out or not is a way to get out of the fee
  - If they want to seek a fee they should be able to write their own fee
  - Bus it is very unique, we were trying so hard to sell new features that we didn't say that if they don't accept Bus it they would lose the bus pass

### **Tyler**

- What I'm thinking about is unintended consequences
- If we hold a referendum to leave the CFS
- If your policy says that we need a neutral explanation – I will define the CFS fee in a very different way than what the CFS would say, and the CRO would have to capture the middle of that somewhere in the essence
- It is going to be very difficult to frame the consequences of a fee yes and no
- I support the spirit

### **Leo**

- Voting no on opt out fee
- If it's a fee that already exists they continue at their current level
- Send to bylaw and policy committee re: voting "no" to something.

Motion to refer to Bylaw and Policy Committee



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

~~e. Notwithstanding anything in this Policy, no question put to referendum shall include any statement about the consequences of the referendum's outcome.~~

e. Every question put to referendum will include a brief impartial statement written by the Chief Returning Officer that clearly outlines any effects on member dues and member services if the question passes and if the question does not pass.

#### **D. Marketing Officer Position Establishment – Policy Changes MOTION 10/04/18:7 (Tyler Morrison) - Appendix B**

- The by law will require a second reading
- There has been a clean up of positions that no longer exist
- Right now a new position of officers is made
- \$6000 of money for marketing officer
- Creating a new position that

Alexandru:

Why not call them commissioner?

Tyler:

They are not running a commission commissioner's report to vice president

Officers report directly to president

The bottom line is that it is fundamentally different from the commissioner

- Seeing the need for somebody who it in need for this
- This might also be a new position, how many hours does the executive actually spend
- Tyler: Adam as an example put an average of 40 hours a week, that's a full time job, that not necessarily what our roles are meant to be
- We are not remunerated like we are in a full time job
- Adam did graphics and a lot of other things



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- I don't have the skills that Adam has and its not fair for

Leo

- We cant guarantee that someone in a president position would have those skills
- We want to make sure that there are skilled individuals
- In positions that are flexible
  
- The skills of the person that would be hires
- Here there is technical skills, what if someone that comes forward and doesn't have those skills, what do we do
- Could we potentially be hiring somebody instead of having people run for it

Tyler

- We thought about that and at the remuneration we are offering we are not going to attract graphic designers
- What I hope we avoid altogether is that we usually get 20 to 30 applicants
- If we got into that problem, the option still exist not to hire somebody or to we could train them
- Microsoft PowerPoint is enough
- We are not asking for anything that complex

Roie

- One way for the application we can see what people would choose

Alexandru

- I hope the position is not just that
- I hope it is to ask them to come up with a marketing strategy themselves

Is the process to hire them the same as commissioners? – yes

- It is not clearly writ

P.2.2.2 friendly amendment selection of commissioner's officers and deputy

- With the creation of a new position,



### Agenda

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

- Could we put a built in one-year renewal, that after 1 year the council is forced to keep this as a petition

Tyler

- That term is caused sunseting
- I'm not a huge fan of that policy
- But we could bring it to council's attention later on to see if it is solving the problem that it is supposed to solve

What was the reason for officer than commissioner

Officer

- We used at other organizations for that reason
- It didn't fit into other existing
- You couldn't add marketing commissioner? We could but commissioners do something fundamentally different than what the commissioners are doing
- They should report to the president and president would

Leo

If this position isn't going well

- If the position isn't achieving its goal a new position would be

This passes

We have to call a general meeting April 30 Monday to a general meeting



## Other Business

---

---



## Notices of Motion & Announcements

---

---



**Agenda**

April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018 - 5:30pm  
McLaughlin Hall, JDUC

The next Council meeting will be Tuesday, May 8<sup>th</sup> at 5:30 PM (McLaughlin Hall, JDUC). Motions for the May Council meeting are due by 4:00 PM on Tuesday, May 1<sup>st</sup>.



---

**IX. Adjournment**

**A. Adjournment**

BIRT this meeting of SGPS Council be adjourned.

**MOTION 10/04/18:7**