

*The Society of Graduate and Professional Students recognizes the traditional and ancestral territories of the Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee Nations on whose lands we gather on today. This land acknowledgement reminds us that rich Indigenous governances still exist, and will go into the future. This should also serve as a reminder that we are benefitting by living on this land that is a traditional territory of Indigenous people.*

## I. Announcements

---

## II. Adoption of the Agenda

---

### A. Adoption of the Agenda

**MOTION 11/14/23:01**

BIRT SGPS Council adopt the Agenda for the November 14 2023 Council Meeting.

Devin Fowlie moves, Miruthula Queen Anbu seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously

## III. Minutes

---

### A. Approval of the Meeting Minutes

**MOTION 11/14/23:02**

BIRT the SGPS adopt the minutes from the October 24 2023 Annual General Meeting.

Shamus Tobin moves, Steacy Coombs seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously

## IV. Executive & Speaker Reports

---

### A. Executive Reports

#### a. President – Devin Fowlie (report attached)

- i. Continuing to have discussions around the JDUC. Just received the financial report and now have a better idea of what the SGPS's contribution will be. Aim to have a complete document before December break.
- ii. Discussion at the October AGM regarding community housing- follow up information can be found within Devin's report regarding student ratio, differential appointment to individuals with dependents, information about the rent increase, etc.
- iii. Attended the University Council Annual General Meeting on Saturday Nov 4<sup>th</sup> alongside Tony Hu- council focused on community engagement through student pedagogy.
- iv. Substantial donation from Steven Smith to the Faculty of Engineering and has since resulted in rebranding- attended meetings on Nov 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>

about the name change. A bit disappointed to note that graduate students were not considered at all within that funding amount- 86% of the funds are for hiring and supporting faculty. Made clear that Smith Engineering should have a plan for supporting their graduate students.

- v. SCADP (Senate Committee and Academic Development Procedures) is reviewing separate proposals to remove God Save the King and Oh Canada from convocation.
  1. Shamus Tobin – Voicing opinion around the maintenance of these traditions within convocation services.
  2. Devin Fowlie – To provide some additional context surrounding the discussion to remove God Save the King, it had come up in 2018 when it was God Save the Queen. At the time a survey was distributed to some students and faculty (it is worth noting that the numbers polled were quite small) resulting in SCADP voting on the issue and arriving at a unanimous decision to remove it from convocation but it tied at the Senate level and didn't go any further. Not sure what will happen, sure that SCADP will want to reevaluate now within the current time. There is some precedence for removing God Save the King from convocation- has been done by other university institutions. Regarding Oh Canada, that is a separate issue.
  3. Shamus Tobin – Inclusion of God Save the King, as it was formerly God Save the Queen pays tribute to the school's namesake and respects the history of the institution and its founding.
  4. Abby McLean – Provides an opposing opinion to Shamus, supporting discussions around removal of God Save the King and about the appropriateness of the national anthem in these situations. Further highlights that removal of God Save the King would resonate with the Universities mandates on supporting EDII practices. What are the next steps for Senate considering it was mentioned that this discussion "died on the floor"?
  5. Devin Fowlie – Notes **he will pass along the comments discussed at the next meeting**. Regarding Senate, SCADP makes recommendations to Senate, so they bring forward these motions and then everyone on Senate votes and I believe in that 2018 vote it was tied 22:22, most of those in favour were students and then a number of alumni, faculty, and some students opposed to removing it.

6. Sheila Ray – Would like to understand better why some at Queen’s are looking to remove particularly Oh Canada from our ceremonies? I would like an opportunity to canvas the law-grad students and see how they feel and if there is anywhere where this has been formalized through a written piece or if someone can explain it that would be greatly appreciated.
7. Devin Fowlie – My understanding is that God Save the King is being removed as a commitment to truth and reconciliation and furthering indigeneity. It is seen as not necessary to convocation and that it evokes colonial imagery. I don’t fully know the justification for removing the anthem, this was proposed at Senate by Senator Ron Klow who is a professor of Indigenous studies. Based on that context I would guess that the justification is similar. I can’t discuss SCADP meetings as those are considered closed, but I can provide the minutes from the 2018 Senate meeting to try and provide further context for the discussions that happened at Senate regarding this topic. I can provide it to Aileen to then be distributed to anyone who would like a copy.
8. Anton Kaduck (in the Zoom’s Chat) – Provides speculation for what has potentially led to the suggestion of removing the national anthem from convocation: “Were I to guess, perhaps the number of international students has something to do with it? If they don't want to equate graduating from Queen's with promising service to Canada.”
9. Shamus Tobin (in the Zoom Chat) – “Not sure about that, since it’s not an oath of service or loyalty but more a song of praise to the sitting monarch.”
10. Miruthula Queen Anbu (in the Zoom Chat) – “However there are implications to including the anthem as well. We could dissect the anthem where we have lines such as “our home and native land” when we are actually uninvited settlers on Indigenous Land/Turtle Island. Further, there are questions that arise on who is included when we speak of “home” and who is “Canadian,” and who is even seen as Canadian. From what I’ve learned as sitting on a UNDRIP committee, the inclusion of the anthem contradicts the suggestions of Truth and Reconciliation Commission report, our relations and responsibilities to Indigenous Peoples, and actively decolonizing spaces.”
  - vi. Devin can be reached at: [president@sgps.ca](mailto:president@sgps.ca)
  - b. VP Graduate – Steacy Coombs (report attached)

- i. Similar report themes as Devin- community housing, Smith Engineering
- ii. Faculty Board for Faculty of Arts and Sciences – myself, Devin and two other graduate students attended and expressed the dire situations that students are facing. The importance of not cutting courses, teaching loads, and scholarships. Did have some opposing views from some faculty, but it was good that we got to contribute to that discourse
- iii. Food insecurity advisory committee – a few resources within report to attaining food support, can also find these on the Student Affairs website and the Ban Righ Centre
- iv. EmpowerMe+ - finally seeing some movement with getting funded through DSA and hopefully SGSPA
- v. Steacy Can be reached at: [graduate@sgps.ca](mailto:graduate@sgps.ca)
- c. VP Professional – Maya Kawale (report attached) – *apologies*
- d. VP Finance and Services – Gaby Fekete (report attached)
  - i. Urges everyone to please look at the financial assistance program if you require assistance requiring any eligible expenses
  - ii. Looking at creating, outside of financial assistance program, a food insecurity relief program which would provide grocery gift cards facing food insecurity. Three-tiered system with those having care-taking responsibilities being eligible for the most, international students being eligible for the second amount, and domestic students having the lowest tier of available funds.
  - iii. Gaby can be reached at: [finance@sgps.ca](mailto:finance@sgps.ca)
    - 1. Shamus Tobin – Would you mind expanding a bit more on the last sentence of your report part 1 with the AGM audit report presentation correction where it says that without this suspension paid from a separate fund, the SGPS is in surplus position. Can you explain the function of this separate fund and how it ties into SGPS finance as a whole.
    - 2. Gaby Fekete – When I refer to this fund as a separate fund its because they have very specific limitations on how they can be used. They are still part of one pool of capital. This general health and dental fund that I’m referring to has limitations on it. Can only be used for general health and dental expenses which the SGPS currently uses to provide assistance to students through the general health and dental subsidies. Last year, we paid for Conversations (a mental health service) through this health and dental fund as this would qualify as a general health expense.

3. Shamus Tobin – that makes sense, I'm just wondering why include the position that without it we'd be in surplus. Was the expense made to pay for Conversation, did the revenue for that come from union dues or did we get some sort of external grant that was directly earmarked to pay for that?
4. Gaby Fekete – Funding used to pay for Conversations we got when we transferred insurance providers in 2018. We have since just used that money to go towards expenses for health and dental.
5. Shamus Tobin – Seeking clarification on where the money for the overall funding comes from- is it from student dues? If the general budget was coming from student dues, then the expenses afterwards, we would be in a surplus if we didn't include this special amount of funding that we got when we switched providers.
6. Devin Fowlie – Akin to the Universities endowment. Have an endowment that contributes overall but that money on its own is ear marked for something separate. When we switch to Student Care which routes us to our respective providers in 2018, that's where we received that pool of money from in 2018. It didn't come from students necessarily, but rather cost saving measures that Student Care provided us. Can get more on the specifics of how or why that happened, but regardless the pool of money from that switch has been indefinitely set aside and only used to pay for health and dental related things like Conversation.
7. Shamus Tobin – To summarize, did this surplus in money arise because we didn't spend the budgeted amount that we did on the previous care provider because we switched to a lower cost care provider? Thus, we have money allocated that was no longer in use so we saved it and it pooled up, but it still inevitably needs to be spent.
8. Devin Fowlie – We were going through an insurance provider directly prior to 2018 which was much more expensive, whereas Student Care is a network across Canada that can secure better prices for services which saved the organization at that point a significant amount of money.
9. Aileen Editha – **We will ensure that this will be followed up on at next council meeting so if Devin and Gaby, you could provide a one paragraph follow-up answer to Shamus's question that would be appreciated.**

- e. VP Community – Tony Hu (report attached)
  - i. Progress regarding the Canadian Federation of Students (CFS)
    1. Acclaimed to the Ontario Graduate Caucus Vice Chair so hopefully that will mean that we can have more meetings throughout the year with other student unions across the province to continue to collaborate on student issues
    2. Nov 8<sup>th</sup> was CFS National Day of Action to fight the fees – went to Queen’s mPark to campaign with a number of solidarity partners across the province for better funding for post-secondary education.
    3. Attending the CFS National Annual General Meeting Nov 24-27<sup>th</sup>
  - ii. Want to make note of the situation at Bader College in the United Kingdom. Can expect undergraduate students to be coming back to campus in January
  - iii. Tony can be reached at: [community@sgps.ca](mailto:community@sgps.ca)
    1. Shamus Tobin – Following up on your report stating that you met with housing and ancillary services for An Clachan and John Orr regarding the issues brought up at the October AGM. Curious what their responses were to some of these concerns?
    2. Tony Hu responds – Of the things we spoke to them about, as outlined in the October AGM. They are making some attempts to address student concerns. First would be potentially implementing a weighted lottery system, which they are still in the process of figuring out (transitioning out of a first come-first serve basis)- would consider financial need to generate a priority list of who needs community housing. Other concerns such as rent increases, it doesn’t seem like there is much motivation for that to change. As well they are discussing guidelines around years for lease renewal (PhD would have 4yrs and Masters 2yrs), based on expected degree completion. We have been firm in telling them that average PhD completion is longer than 4yrs so this definitely raises concerns for those who exceed this projected timeline.
    3. Shamus Tobin – What about the concerns regarding the sort of rolling renovations and the impact that would have on current lease holders and availability of affordable housing?
    4. Tony Hu – I unfortunately don’t think that community housing is in a position to have that conversation for a handful of years. This is an over 5+ yrs down the line for them to be able to redevelop the site.

5. Aileen Editha – Suggests following up on this issue again at the next council meeting to continue to keep tabs on this conversation.

**B. Speaker Report**

- a. Speaker – Aileen Editha (no report)

**C. Approval**

**MOTION 11/14/23:03**

BIRT SGPS Council approve the Executive and Speaker Reports.

Shamus Tobin moves, Steacy Coombs seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously

**V. Senator, Trustee, Commissioner, Committee & Other Reports**

---

**A. Senator Report** – Graduate Student Senator – Emils Matiss

- a. Emils was unable to be in attendance but shared via email
  - i. "The most salient thing I wanted to get across to council is that we (student senate caucus) would like to get feedback from students about their biggest issues and concerns, constrained to academic-related matters"
- b. Devin Fowlie spoke further on his behalf - Notes that we can discuss funding but there is little movement that we will likely make on that issue at caucus. Would be interested to know other academic issues or concerns broadly construed. Looking to make a feedback survey for counsellors so he will follow up with more information there.
- c. Can be reached at: [senator@sgps.ca](mailto:senator@sgps.ca)

**B. Trustee Report** – Graduate Student Trustee – Kana Ogawa (oral report)

- a. The first Senate meeting attended was in September and the next will be in December (meetings occur 4 times a year)
- b. Within the open session of the September meeting, emphasis was placed on Queen's a greater than \$60 million deficit and many discussions centered how this would impact graduate students. Continued voice is needed to highlight the financial restrictions students are already facing to ensure that the proposed budget cuts don't further exacerbate this. If there are any questions or concerns regarding this manner that you would like me to relay at the December meeting, please reach out via email.
- c. Kana can be reached at: [trustee@sgps.ca](mailto:trustee@sgps.ca)

**C. Commissioner Reports**

- a. Athletics & Wellness Commissioner – Meghan Mendelin (report attached)
  - i. Two fitness events coming up this month – free for SGPS members if you sign up in time.

- ii. Following up on our AGM conversation regarding ARC collaborations to get SGPS only space. Initial outreach has happened, and the meeting will take place over the next few weeks so I will provide updates at next council meeting.
  - 1. Daekwon Blair – Asks who the point of contact is within the ARC?
  - 2. Meghan replies - Meeting with the Director of Active Living. We emailed the Facility Manager, and they directed us to who they thought would be best suited for this conversation.
  - 3. Daekwon comments to let him know if there is any resistance and he can provide support.
  - 4. Shamus Tobin – Speaking more on this issue, are there any ideas of how this will look. Would it be carving out space for SGPS members or a specific time for members only?
  - 5. Meghan – The solution we tentatively put forth in our email was reserving designated space within the ARC for SPGS members for certain times and days. We are wanting to give flexibility for the ARC as they are already constrained with current student needs, but this is the proposal we’re going to put forth. There is a precedent for this as before the creation of Mitchell Hall, varsity athletics were reserving space for their use, so we think it’s a good solution to try and implement.
- iii. Meghan can be reached at: [athletics@sgps.ca](mailto:athletics@sgps.ca)
- b. Equity & Diversity Commissioner – Sangeetha Saravanan (report attached)
  - i. We are reorganizing the Soft Infrastructure network which is a group of students coming together to discuss intersectionality and diversity concerns on campus to build discussion that can be taken back to their departments. Do have honorariums for people participating – currently have someone from Law and Biology but looking for more departmental representation. Let me know if yourself or others in your department are interested in joining.
  - ii. Sangeetha can be reached at: [equity@sgps.ca](mailto:equity@sgps.ca)
- c. Indigenous Graduate Liaison – Rosemary Gasparro (no report)
- d. International Students’ Affairs Commissioner – Vina Li (report attached)
  - i. During the last Shift survey there were concerns raised about international students on campus and I think that this topic will resurface at our next meeting so looking forward to that.
  - ii. Interested in Kana’s comments about how the University is going to support international students through the budget cuts as they are already under



financial stress and have limited local networks of support compared to domestic students.

iii. Vina can be reached at: [international@sgps.ca](mailto:international@sgps.ca)

e. Social Commissioner – Gabby Toretto (oral report)

i. Some events coming up to celebrate the end of term, notably Trivia Nights at the Grad Club, so stay tuned for those.

ii. Working on the January Orientation activities to welcome graduate and professional students that are starting in the Winter semester. Including introduction to various student services and interesting school spaces such as the BioScience Greenhouse and Ellis Observatory. Planning additional social events and consulting the other commissioners in doing so to ensure that we can identify areas to better welcome incoming students.

iii. Gabby can be reached at: [social@sgps.ca](mailto:social@sgps.ca)

1. Haritha Haridas – Asks whether the BioSciences Greenhouse tours are available to other students as well or just the incoming cohort?

2. Gabby Toretto – A lot of students haven't seen many aspects of campus, largely impacted by COVID. Almost all events are open to all SGPS students, the reason I mention that they are geared towards incoming students in that they do have priority for admittance if it is limited, but the events are open for returning students as well.

**D. Committee Reports**

**E. Department Reports**

**F. Other Reports**

a. University Rector – Owen Crawford-Lem (no report)

**G. Approval**

**MOTION 11/14/23:04**

BIRT SGPS Council approve the Senator, Trustee, Commissioner, Committee & Other Reports.

Daekwon Blair moves, Shamus Tobin seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously

**VI. Question Period and Departmental Issues**

---

**VII. Business Arising from the Minutes**

---

**A. Discussion: Impact of hiring freeze on graduate students**

*Question from Abby McLean as to how the hiring freeze (as discussed in Senate) would affect hiring adjuncts.*

1. Aileen Editha introduces the topic and calls upon Devin Fowlie for updates
2. Devin Fowlie:
  - a. A few folks from council were invited by James Fraser, the Associate Dean of Graduate Studies for the Faculty of Arts and Science to attend a meeting recently. Take away from that meeting is that it is complex and depends on the department. At the Faculty of Arts and Sciences Faculty Board, we advocated for more teaching fellows, provided that they're supported. Based on my discussion with departments it seems likely that they're not moving in the adjunct direction, but it depends on what they're doing to fulfill their course obligations and really seems department specific.
3. Abby McLean affirms that the meeting was beneficial and that Devin summarized it adequately.

**B. Update:** Small revision of election timeline (reflected on the SGPS website)

Date	Event
Friday, 12 Jan	Information session if needed; website and social media adverts
Monday, 15 Jan	Nominations open
Friday, 19 Jan	Advertisement of uncontested positions
Monday, 22 Jan	Nominations close (4 pm)
Tuesday, 23 Jan	Validation and ballot ratification at Council
Wednesday, 24 Jan	All Candidates Meeting (TBD) <i>(will be held if needed)</i>
Thursday, 25 Jan	Campaign period begins (12:00 am)
Wednesday, 31 Jan	All Candidates Debate (TBD)
Monday, 5 Feb	Campaign period ends (11:59 pm)
Tuesday-Weds, 6-7 Feb	Voting days

1. Aileen Editha introduces the topic:
  - a. This follows up on what was discussed between Katie Zutautas and Shamus Tobin in the general meeting. Made sure to include additional information regarding the flexibility of the meetings supporting candidates in the Executive Elections.

VIII. Main Motions & Discussion

---

**A. Approval of proposed change to the 2023-24 SGPS Budget**

**MOTION 11/14/23:05**

*Gaby Fekete, SGPS Vice President Finance and Services (vp.finance@sgps.ca)*

*Per SGPS Policy 1.8.c., this is the first of two readings of the motion.*

1. Aileen Editha provides policy context for this proposed change:
  - a. Within the SGPS Policy budget changes are characterized within brackets. Per 1.8.c this will be the first of two readings. Unlike ratification or approval of budget, the motions do not have to be read in council and a general meeting. They just need to be read in more than one council meeting to ensure that it's on everyone's radar. Before we move onto the motion, I want to invite Gaby to speak more on the proposed change and where the money is coming from.
2. Gaby Fekete:
  - a. We expect to be in a surplus this year and as a result of this exceeding our expectations somewhat greatly, we wanted to determine ways to a portion of the surplus funding in the interest of our members. There are a number of initiatives that the SGPS has been tackling but one that's been a key focus is to decrease the level of food insecurity students are facing. We conceived this idea to offer grocery gift cards to students facing food insecurity. Going to pilot this program- it would open this Fall if approved and be open in the Spring, so as to not commit the future executive to this program. In any case, half of the funding would be available in the Fall and the other half in the Spring, and it would be available on a first come first serve basis for us to distribute the funds as quickly as possible.

BIRT SGPS Council approves the addition of \$20,000 to the food insecurity relief program, within the 2023-24 SGPS budget.

Abby McLean moves, Sheila Ray seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously

- B. Discussion:** Educational Society
  - a. Postponed until next meeting where Raj will be present.
- C. Discussion:** ARC gym space
  - a. Was touched upon during Meghan's report session.
- D. Discussion:** Structuring of departmental societies (Queen's TMed)
  - a. Question was sorted internally.
- E. Discussion:** SGPS statement on the Middle East conflict

*As the situation in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territory continues to unfold, the SGPS continues to reflect and work towards ways that we can support our students. Like many of you, we are shocked and horrified by the number of lives lost, displaced and forever altered.*

*The SGPS acknowledges that this conflict has had devastating impacts on Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territory. We also recognize that the world continues to witness antisemitic, xenophobic, discriminatory and racist acts committed. We condemn any and all forms of disrespect, discrimination, racism, antisemitism, and xenophobia against any individual.*

*The SGPS would also like to take this time to call for the immediate and unconditional release of all civilians held hostage. We plead for the parties in this conflict to respect their obligations under international humanitarian and human rights law. This includes depriving civilians in Gaza from water, medicine, electricity, fuel, and food. We stand by the call for an immediate humanitarian ceasefire, and offer our deepest support and condolences to all members of our community who have been personally affected by this conflict.*

**NOTE:** All comments from this discussion were recorded in live time by Aileen Editha and provided to the Executive for revision of the SGPS statement. The final version can be found on the SGPS website: <https://sgps.ca/2023/11/18/sgps-statement-on-the-ongoing-conflict-in-the-middle-east/>

Summary by Officers of the Council: The statement generated numerous valuable responses from SGPS Council representatives who chimed in on various aspects of the statement, such as word choice and the SGPS Executive’s intention behind producing this statement. We are pleased to say that the discussion surrounding the statement was very calm, constructive, and helpful for the SGPS more generally. As we recognize that this is a sensitive issue whereby individuals might have differing opinions, we have chosen not to provide a detailed account of the conversations during the meeting. Instead, we will recount how this topic was introduced and consider Council’s comments anonymously.

1. Devin Fowlie introduces the topic:

As there are many complexities to the current Middle East conflict we [the SGPS Executive] wanted to share our statement with Council first before releasing it. There have been a couple of suggestions so far on potential modifications, firstly about the ordering in which we refer to disrespect, discrimination, racism, antisemitism, and xenophobia, to be an alphabetical reordering rather than indicating the precedent or overt care or regard for one form over the others. Add in islamophobia to this condemnation to make it explicit that we also condemn that. Support from numerous council members that Islamophobia should be explicitly added within the statement. The best thing to do might be to open it up to discussion/feedback, while also keeping in mind that it is an incredibly sensitive topic.

2. Comments from Council:

- a. Concern raised over the last paragraph. Concerned that the mention of ceasefire being the last sentence of the paragraph while mentioning release of civilian hostages first could convey the wrong message. As well the wording around “call for” vs “stand by the call for” have varying strength and would be useful to use “call for” consistently. Makes note that mention of attacks on sacred spaces, such as hospitals, could also be condemned to add to the sentence underscoring the restricted access to services civilians in Gaza have been experiencing.
- b. Question regarding the use of the phrase “immediate humanitarian ceasefire”, as that is the same as a humanitarian pause, which is different than a full ceasefire. Underscoring whether we are calling for a brief pause or full cessation of violence. The SGPS Executive responds that the use of ceasefire outright was in part not included as the legal definition means that the parties resolve their conflict and come to an agreement, and that all military activity would cease to exist for a given length of time in this specified area. While this is our hope as an organization, we are reminded that we represent a diverse student population, and we want to be sensitive to the fact that we are not experts on this conflict, and we want to acknowledge the complexities involved but that we aren’t in a position to advise when these parties should come to an agreement. Suggested that as a matter of clarity it may be better to say humanitarian pause then to ensure that the adequate messaging is getting across. Agreement in the chat with this, and Devin agrees.
- c. Question on the intent behind and purpose of the statement, and how it is meant to support SGPS students that are impacted. The statement reads more as though its expressing political opinions about what should be occurring by people who do not live there and who may not really understand all the facets. If we ultimately are looking to support students, we could be unintentionally offending them through some aspects of this document, such as using Occupied Palestinian Territory- Gaza would be more neutral, and wouldn’t show that you’re supporting one side of students potentially affected more than another. There is some language that is provocative if this is not intended to be a political statement and that may work to alienate some students, one area namely being the use of Occupied Palestinian Territory rather than Gaza. Really need to consider what the idea was behind this statement and if it could be achieved more effectively. SGPS Executive responds that the intention, we are certainly here

to support our graduate students and I think a component of that is for students to see themselves reflected in the organization and what the organization supports and values. This is our expression of what we see as being an issue at the moment and I think we intend to partly embody the harmony that you spoke of in this piece- as it takes a relatively moderate stance with this principle in mind. I would be cautious of doing a “let’s all get along statement” as I feel that that could convey the opposite statement and would further isolate students. The goal is always to support students, I would be happy to hear if you have any specific ideas in terms of where this may fall short in supporting students, but that is our intention.

3. Aileen Editha – Asks Devin if there is a specific date this statement is looking to be released or when feedback should be conveyed by?
  - a. Devin provides a window for further comments till tomorrow at noon and hopes that this statement will be released by the end of the week.

## IX. Other Business

---

## X. Notices of Motion & Announcements

---

## XI. Adjournment

---

### **Adjournment**

**MOTION 11/14/23:06**

BIRT this meeting of SGPS Council be adjourned.

Abby McLean moves, Daekwon Blair seconds. No points of discussion on this motion. No dissenting votes; the motion passes unanimously